

Fire Beyond Flames

Connect prior knowledge to a more precise understanding of wildfire.

11.P.EICC.3.c “Draw from, compare, build, and integrate prior knowledge with the material in the text, addressing inconsistencies or gaps and adding to knowledge repertoires as appropriate. (I)”

NAME _____

DATE _____

1 READ AND MARK _____ Read it twice

YOUR JOB Underline one statement that connects to what you already believed about wildfire. Circle two details that complicate or add to that belief, then number the circled details 1 and 2 in the order you would use them to revise your thinking.

When Fire Is a Tool

- ① Wildfire news often shows flames racing through dry forests, so people may conclude that every fire is purely destructive. In many fire-adapted ecosystems, however, low-intensity fires have long cleared leaf litter, dead branches, and small shrubs from the forest floor. Some fires begin with lightning, and others were intentionally set by Indigenous communities to tend landscapes. When frequent, mild fire is excluded for decades, more vegetation can accumulate. That buildup can help a later fire burn hotter or move from the ground into tree crowns. This pattern does not mean that all forests need fire in the same way.
- ② Forest managers use prescribed burns, carefully planned fires lit under selected weather conditions, to reduce surface fuels in some places. Before a burn, crews consider wind, humidity, fuel moisture, nearby roads, and the resources needed to contain the fire. The goal is not to erase every plant or make a forest fireproof. A prescribed burn may leave large trees and many living plants while changing the material that could carry flames. Research and local knowledge can guide these decisions, because a treatment that fits a frequent-fire pine forest may not fit a high-elevation forest where fires naturally occur less often.
- ③ Even a well-planned burn can produce smoke, and smoke can harm health, especially for people with asthma or other respiratory conditions. Managers therefore weigh a short period of planned smoke against the possibility of heavier smoke from an uncontrolled wildfire. Yet fuel buildup is only one part of wildfire risk. Drought, extreme heat, strong winds, terrain, and homes built near vegetation can also affect how a fire starts, spreads, and harms communities. Reading this evidence together leads to a more precise conclusion: prescribed fire can be a useful tool in suitable ecosystems, but it cannot guarantee safety or replace other preparation.

2 ANSWER WITH EVIDENCE _____ Use the passage

1 What familiar belief about wildfire does paragraph 1 complicate, and what information changes that belief?

2 Why would managers not use the same prescribed-burn plan in every forest? Use the comparison in paragraph 2.

3 A reader says, "Fuel buildup is the only reason wildfires become dangerous." Which details in paragraph 3 expose the gap in that claim?

4 How does paragraph 3 refine the idea that prescribed fire prevents wildfire harm?

3 YOUR TURN _____ Your own words

Write exactly three sentences about how your understanding of wildfire changed after reading this passage. State a prior belief, integrate one specific passage detail, and explain one limit or gap that leads to your revised conclusion.

PART 1 • READ AND MARK

Underline one statement that connects to what you already believed about wildfire. Circle two details that complicate or add to that belief, then number the circled details 1 and 2 in the order you would use them to revise your thinking. Accept any marking that follows this job and points at the right text.

PART 2 • ANSWERS

#	QUESTION	ANSWER
1	What familiar belief about wildfire does paragraph 1 complicate, and what information changes that belief?	It complicates the belief that every fire is purely destructive. In fire-adapted ecosystems, low-intensity fire can clear surface vegetation, and excluding mild fire can allow fuels to build up.
2	Why would managers not use the same prescribed-burn plan in every forest? Use the comparison in paragraph 2.	Different forests have different natural fire patterns. A plan suited to a frequent-fire pine forest may not suit a high-elevation forest where fires naturally occur less often.
3	A reader says, "Fuel buildup is the only reason wildfires become dangerous." Which details in paragraph 3 expose the gap in that claim?	Paragraph 3 says drought, extreme heat, strong winds, terrain, and homes near vegetation also affect how fires start, spread, and harm communities.
4	How does paragraph 3 refine the idea that prescribed fire prevents wildfire harm?	It explains that prescribed fire can be useful in suitable ecosystems, but it produces smoke, cannot guarantee safety, and cannot replace other preparation.

PART 3 • YOUR TURN (SAMPLE ANSWER)

I used to think preventing every forest fire was always the safest choice. The passage explains that low-intensity fire can clear leaf litter and small shrubs in fire-adapted ecosystems, so planned burning may reduce material that carries flames. Because drought, wind, and nearby homes also affect harm, I now think prescribed fire is useful in some places rather than a complete solution.

Accept varied prior beliefs when the response accurately integrates a specific passage detail and identifies a relevant limit, condition, or missing factor. For question 3, accept any correctly named factors beyond fuel buildup.