Revision Reality Check

Use feedback and self-review to judge a proposal's effectiveness.

11.P.EICC.4.f "Evaluate the text's effectiveness based on self-review or feedback from others, determining whether the text matches the purpose and goals for writing. (C)"

NAME _____ DATE _____

1 READ AND MARK

Read it twice

YOUR JOB Underline the writer's purpose and goals, circle each piece of feedback or self-review, and number the revisions that answer those concerns. Use your marks to judge whether the revised proposal meets its goals.

Proposal for Bicycle Repair Stations

1. For the principal and facilities committee, I drafted a proposal for three covered bicycle repair stations near the east, west, and bus entrances. My purpose was to persuade the committee to fund equipment before next spring, when more students bike to school. I set two goals: show that the stations would solve a real access problem and prove that the plan was affordable. In my first draft, I opened with a personal story about a flat tire, then listed tools, possible locations, and a cost estimate based on prices from a local supplier.

2. During peer review, Maya wrote that the flat-tire story gained attention but did not establish how often students need repairs. She also noted that the proposal never explained who would maintain the stations. Mr. Chen, the activities director, agreed that the cost table was useful, yet he asked me to compare the purchase cost with the cost of repairing damaged bike racks. I checked the draft against my goals. The personal story supported interest, but the missing evidence and maintenance plan weakened the proposal's credibility for a committee responsible for spending and upkeep.

3. I revised the opening by adding results from a one-week student transportation survey: 46 respondents said a mechanical problem had kept them from biking at least once. I replaced one tool-list paragraph with a maintenance schedule in which the environmental club checks supplies weekly and reports damage to facilities staff. I also added the requested comparison, explaining that the stations would cost $1,860, while replacing one bent rack section recently cost $740. After revision, the proposal better matched its purpose because it gave decision makers evidence, a workable responsibility plan, and a clear financial reason to act.

2 ANSWER WITH EVIDENCE

Use the passage

1. What decision does the writer want the audience to make, and what are the two goals the writer uses to judge the draft?

2. Which part of the first draft did feedback show was somewhat effective but insufficient, and why was it insufficient?

3. How did the writer's revisions respond to both Maya's feedback and Mr. Chen's feedback?

4. Does the revised proposal match its purpose more effectively than the first draft? Use two details from the passage to support your judgment.

3 YOUR TURN

Your own words

Choose a draft you have written or plan to write. In exactly four sentences, name its audience, purpose, and two goals, describe one piece of feedback or self-review, explain one revision, and judge whether the revision makes the draft more effective.

ANSWER KEY

Revision Reality Check

GRADE 11 · 11.P.EICC.4.f

PART 1 · READ AND MARK

Underline the writer's purpose and goals, circle each piece of feedback or self-review, and number the revisions that answer those concerns. Use your marks to judge whether the revised proposal meets its goals. Accept any marking that follows this job and points at the right text.

PART 2 · ANSWERS

#	QUESTION	ANSWER
1	What decision does the writer want the audience to make, and what are the two goals the writer uses to judge the draft?	**The principal and facilities committee should fund three repair stations before spring. The goals are to show an access problem and prove that the plan is affordable.**
2	Which part of the first draft did feedback show was somewhat effective but insufficient, and why was it insufficient?	**The flat-tire story gained attention, but it did not show how often students need repairs.**
3	How did the writer's revisions respond to both Maya's feedback and Mr. Chen's feedback?	**The writer added survey evidence and a maintenance schedule to address Maya's concerns, then compared station costs with rack-repair costs to address Mr. Chen's request.**
4	Does the revised proposal match its purpose more effectively than the first draft? Use two details from the passage to support your judgment.	**Yes. It provides survey evidence of need and a maintenance plan, and it also gives a cost comparison for decision makers.**

PART 3 · YOUR TURN (SAMPLE ANSWER)

My draft is an email to the city library director proposing a teen study room. Its purpose is to persuade the director, and my goals are to show student need and present a practical plan. A classmate said my draft named the problem but gave no evidence, so I added results from a student poll. This revision makes the email more effective because it gives the director evidence while keeping the request focused.

Accept supported judgments about effectiveness when students connect a revision to the proposal's audience, purpose, or stated goals. For Part 3, accept varied topics if all required elements appear in exactly four sentences.